



ARTICLE

Influence of Phenological Stage on the Volatile Content and Biological Properties of *Origanum elongatum* Essential Oil

Amine Batbat^{1,2}, Khaoula Habbadi², Mohamed Jeddi³, Samiah Hamad Al-Mijalli⁴,
Hanae Naceiri Mrabti⁵, Fahad M. Alshabirmi⁶, Naif Hesham Moursi⁷, Hassane Greche¹
and Naoufal El Hachlafi^{8,*}

¹Laboratory of Applied Organic Chemistry, Faculty of Sciences and Techniques, Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah University, Imouzzar Road, Fez, Morocco

²Plant Protection Research Unit, National Institute of Agronomic Research, Regional Center of Agronomic Research of Meknes INRA-CRRA, Meknes, Morocco

³Laboratory of Microbial Biotechnology and Bioactive Molecules, Faculty of Sciences and Technologies Faculty, Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah University, P.O. Box 2202, Imouzzar Road, Fez, Morocco

⁴Department of Biology, College of Sciences, Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University, P.O. Box 84428, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

⁵Laboratory of Drug Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Pharmacy, and Dental Medicine of Fez, Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah University, Fez, Morocco

⁶Department of Medical Laboratories, College of Applied Medical Sciences, Qassim University, Buraydah, Saudi Arabia

⁷King Fahad Armed Forced Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

⁸Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy, Ibn Zohr University, Guelmim, Morocco

*Corresponding Author: Naoufal El Hachlafi. Email: naoufal.elhachlafi@usmba.ac.ma

Received: 26 August 2025; Accepted: 14 January 2026; Published: 30 January 2026

ABSTRACT: *Origanum elongatum* (OE) is an aromatic, medicinal plant endemic to Morocco that is widely used in traditional medicine due to its biological properties. This study aimed to elucidate the chemical composition of the essential oil (EO) obtained from *O. elongatum* (OEEO) at three stages of its life cycle, including vegetative stage (OEEO-VS), flowering stage (OEEO-FS), and post-flowering (OEEO-PFS), as well as to evaluate its biological and antiradical characteristics. The chemical analysis of the essential oil was conducted using gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS). The antibacterial activity was evaluated *in vitro* through distinct methodologies, namely, disc diffusion and microatmosphere assay; subsequently, the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) was then determined. The antioxidant potential was also measured by using the DPPH and FRAP assays. The GC-MS revealed the predominant of *p*-cymene (26.83%_31.45%), γ -terpinene (8.46%_26.95%), thymol (13%_29.54%), and carvacrol (20.25%_37.26%), in all three samples, with notable variations according to the phenological stage of the samples. The EOs extracted at three phenological stages demonstrated notable antibacterial efficacy against all the phytopathogen tested. The MICs for *Erwinia amylovora* exhibited a range of 6.25 and 250 $\mu\text{g/mL}$. However, for *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* C58 and *Allorhizobium vitis* S4, the MICs spanned 125 and 250 $\mu\text{g/mL}$. In the DPPH test, the IC50 values were 168.25 ± 1.14 , 147.01 ± 0.78 , and 132.01 ± 2.06 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ for EOs derived from the vegetative, flowering, and post-flowering period, respectively. In the FRAP test, the EC50 values were 164.22 ± 1.04 , 215.73 ± 1.48 , and 184.06 ± 0.95 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ for the same stages. The findings offer promising prospects for the phytochemical development, demonstrating how the phenological stage significantly influences the therapeutic and biotechnological potential of *O. elongatum*. This has the potential to open up new avenues of research in the pharmaceutical, agronomic, and environmental fields.

KEYWORDS: *Origanum elongatum*; phenological stage; chemical composition; antioxidant activity; antibacterial activity; bioactive compounds; plant development; chemotype variation

1 Introduction

Northern Morocco, with its Mediterranean climate, is very rich in aromatic and medicinal plants, some of which are endemic. Among these, we found *Origanum* species that are used worldwide in conventional therapy for the treatment of a certain range of diseases, including respiratory problems [1], digestive troubles, poisoning, dandruff [2], diabetes [3], and inflammation [4]. Extensive *in vitro* testing has revealed a vast array of pharmacological properties related to these plants, notably antibacterial, anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, anticancer, antifungal, and antiviral properties [5–9].

Numerous research studies have reported that the *Origanum* genus essential oils contain a diverse range of terpenoids and phenolic compounds, including carvacrol, thymol, γ -terpinene, and *p*-cymene [10–12]. This chemical composition is affected by many factors, such as altitude [13] and the phenological stage [14,15].

Origanum elongatum is an endemic aromatic and medicinal plant of the *Lamiaceae* family, a perennial herb of the *Origanum* genus [16]. Its distribution in the wild is limited to the northeast (NE) of Morocco and extends from the Middle Atlas to the Rif mountain [17], in open forests, rocky outcrops and mountain matorrals, on siliceous substrates and deep, well-drained soils, and characterized by bioclimatic plasticity since it is able to grow under different climatic conditions, including semi-arid, sub-humid, humid and per-humid [18]. *O. elongatum* develops easily in temperate continental climates and grows rapidly [19]. *O. elongatum* is therefore characterized by chemical polymorphism with appreciable inter- and intrapopulation variability. In this context, a phytochemical study of 168 samples of *O. elongatum* from different regions reported that the most dominant compound was carvacrol, followed by thymol and *p*-cymene. Limonene, α -terpineol, thymoquinone, carvacrylic methyl oxide, and caryophyllene oxide (rare compounds in *Origanum* taxa) [17]. Other studies too have shown the richness of *Origanum elongatum* by several classes of bioactive compounds, including terpenoids, flavonoids, oxygenated compounds, hydrocarbon compounds, and phenolic compounds [5,18,20,21].

O. elongatum grows naturally in the Moroccan Rif and is of great importance as a plant of ethnopharmacological value to the local population [17]. Certain individuals prefer the plant's preparation at specific stages, with some favoring the flowering stage while others prefer the post-flowering stage [22].

The aim of this study was to determine for the first time the optimal harvesting period with the richest concentration of bioactive compounds, and to evaluate the variation of chemical compounds in *O. elongatum* essential oil at three different phenological stages, including vegetative, flowering, and post-flowering stages. In addition, the antibacterial, antioxidant, and OEEO activities of different phenological stages were evaluated to establish possible correlations between biological activity and the chemical composition of the oils.

2 Material and Methods

2.1 Plant Material and Essential Oils Extraction

As part of our study, we collected areal part of *O. elongatum* in the Targuist region (34°43'29'' N; 4°18'21'' W) (Fig. 1). The samples were collected aerial plant part at three key points in the OE life cycle: the vegetative period (April 2023), the flowering period (June 2023) and the post-flowering period in August 2023 (Fig. 2). From these samples, we were able to carry out chemical and biological analyses of EO in the early, middle and late stages of their development. The plant material was dried in the shade and subjected to hydrodistillation using a Clevenger apparatus. The obtained EO was stored at 4°C until use.




Figure 1: Mapping of *Origanum elongatum* collection point at various development stage.




Figure 2: *Origanum elongatum* in its natural state in three stages of development: (A) *O. elongatum* at Vegetative stage; (B): *O. elongatum* at flowering stage; (C): *O. elongatum* at post flowering stage.

2.2 Study Area and Climate Condition

The climate of the region varies considerably during the months that are most important for the development of the plants. In April, during the vegetative stage, the average temperature is around 11°C, providing relatively mild conditions for plant growth. The plants start growing vigorously, with maximum temperatures reaching 16°C. However, the number of rainy days (a day on which measurable rainfall occurs, which is commonly defined as ≥ 1 mm of precipitation) in April was 6.6 days, with a total rainfall of 45.2 mm. This may affect the health and growth of the crop. In June, when the plants are flowering, the climate warms considerably, with an average temperature of 19°C and a maximum up to 24°C. This increase in temperature promotes flower blooming. In addition, the number of rainy days drops dramatically to an average of only 1.6 days with an average rainfall of 10.2 mm. In August, during the post-flowering period,

temperatures remained warm with an average of 22°C, although the maximum and minimum values did not differ much from those of June (Fig. 3). On the other hand, the number of rainy days remained low, with an average of only 0.6 days and a minimum rainfall of 3.4 mm.




Figure 3: Rainfall and temperature distribution in study area.

2.3 Identification of Volatile Components

The GC-MS analyses were carried out on three samples of OEEO corresponding to three phenological stages (vegetative, flowering, and post-flowering). The gas chromatography-mass spectrometry was performed using a GC-MS-TQ8040 NX (Shimadzu) equipped with a triple quadrupole detector. The compounds were isolated on a non-polar RTxi-5 Sil MS capillary column (30 m × 0.25 mm ID × 0.25 µm). Helium was used as the carrier gas. The injection volume was set at 1 µL in splitless mode, with the split being opened after 4 min. The injection temperature was set at 250°C, with a pressure of 37.1 kPa. The temperature program started at 50°C/min (held for 2 min), followed by two ramps: 5°C/min to 160°C (held for 2 min), then 5°C/min to 280°C (held for 2 min), for a total analysis time of 50 min. The ion source and interface temperatures were 200°C and 280°C, respectively. The acquisition was performed in full scan mode, and the compounds were identified using the NIST library version 2019, and Hexane was used as a dilution solvent for the samples. To obtain the percentage of relative peak area for the EOs, firstly, we add up all the areas of all the peaks. Then we divided the area of each peak by the total area and multiplied the results by 100 to obtain the percentage of each EO component [17]. The GC-MS analyses were performed in triplicate to ensure methodological rigor and reproducibility.

2.4 Biological Activity

2.4.1 Bacteria Strains and Growth Conditions

Three phytopathogens, including *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* C58, *Allorhizobium Vitis* S4, and *Erwinia amylovora*, were used to study the antibacterial potential of OEEO at three phenological stages. All the bacterial strains used in this work were obtained from the Laboratory of Phytopathology of the Regional Centre for Agronomic Research (INRA-CRRA) in Meknes. The preparation of the bacterial

suspension followed a precise protocol. However, separate colonies from a fresh culture on LB agar medium were carefully selected. These colonies were then transferred to a sterile sodium chloride (0.9% NaCl) solution. The bacterial concentration was adjusted to approximately 10^6 CFU/mL. The optical density of the suspension was measured using a UV-visible spectrophotometer at 625 nm and standardized according to the 0.5 McFarland standard.

2.4.2 Disc Diffusion

The antibacterial efficacy of each phenological stage of OEEO was estimated using the disc diffusion technique. This method was adapted from a published method with minor changes [15]. The previously prepared bacterial working suspensions, adjusted to 10^6 CFU/mL, were spread homogeneously on LB agar plates. A sterile filter disc of 6 mm diameter, soaked with 10 μ L of OEEO of each phenological stage, was carefully positioned on the inoculated plates. Streptomycin 15 μ g/disc was used as a positive control, and the diameter of the inhibition zone around each disc was measured in millimeters after incubation at 37°C for 24 h.

2.4.3 The Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC)

The antibacterial activity of OEEO at three phenological stages (OEEO-VS, OEEO-FS, and OEEO-PFS) was evaluated using a 96-well microplate dilution method [15]. Each well contained 95 μ L of double-strength LB broth, to which serial dilutions of EO (in 4% DMSO) were added, yielding final concentrations ranging from 1000 to 7.8 μ g/mL. Streptomycin was used as a positive control, and 4% DMSO alone served as a negative control. Then, 10 μ L of bacterial suspension ($\approx 10^6$ CFU/mL) was added to each well. Microplate was incubated at 35°C for 24 h. Bacterial growth was assessed by adding 20 μ L of resazurin solution; a color change from blue to pink indicated metabolic activity. The MIC was defined as the lowest OEEO concentration at which no color change was observed.

2.5 Antioxidant Activity

2.5.1 DPPH Assay

The free radical scavenging properties of OEEO during the vegetative (OEEO-VS), flowering (OEEO-FS), and post-flowering (OEEO-PFS) stages were evaluated using the 2,2-diphenyl 1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) assay. This method, which has been previously documented in a published paper, was used with a slight modification to determine the free radical scavenging potential of each OEEO phenological stage [23]. Briefly, 90 μ L of EO at different concentrations were mixed with 600 μ L of 0.04% ethanolic DPPH solution. After incubation for 30 min in the dark, the absorbance was measured at 530 nm using a spectrophotometer. Negative controls were prepared by mixing 90 μ L of ethanol with 600 μ L of DPPH solution, without EOs, to correct for background absorbance. However, positive controls included BHT (Butylated hydroxytoluene) and ascorbic acid. All assays were performed in triplicate, and the IC_{50} was calculated as the concentration of EOs required to inhibit 50% of DPPH free radicals.

2.5.2 FRAP Assay

The ferric reducing antioxidant capacity of each sample of OEEO phenological stage was studied as reported by [24] with some slight changes.

Briefly, a reaction mixture was prepared by combining 0.5 mL of each EO at various concentrations with 1.25 mL of phosphate buffer (200 mM, pH = 6.6) and 1.25 mL of 1% potassium ferricyanide. The resulting mixture was incubated at 50°C for 25 min. After incubation, 10% trichloroacetic acid (TCA) was

added to precipitate the proteins, and centrifugation was performed at 5000 rpm for 5 min. The supernatant obtained (1.25 mL) was diluted with 1.25 mL of distilled water, and 250 μ L of 0.1% ferric chloride (FeCl_3) was added. However, negative controls were prepared by replacing the EO with ethanol only to correct for background absorbance. Positive controls included BHT and ascorbic acid. The EC_{50} , defined as the concentration of OEEO required to achieve an absorbance of 0.5, was then calculated.

2.6 Statistical Analysis

All assays were conducted in triplicate, and the data are reported as mean $\pm$ standard deviation. Statistical analyses were carried out using GraphPad version 9. Mean differences were evaluated by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test, with significance accepted at $p < 0.05$.

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Chemical Profile of the Three OEEO Phenological Stage Samples.

The GC-MS analysis of the essential oils of *O. elongatum* from three different phenological stages, including vegetative, flowering, and post-flowering (Fig. 4 and Table 1), revealed a diverse range of chemical composition (22 compounds), with monoterpenes representing the dominant class of compounds. The extraction yields were found to be 4.9%, 5% and 2.1% for OEEO-VS, OEEO-FS, and OEEO-PFS, respectively. The predominant compounds identified in all three samples were *p*-cymene (26.83%_31.45%), γ -terpinene (8.46%_26.95%), thymol (13%_29.54%), and carvacrol (20.25%_37.26%), with notable variations according to the phenological stage of the samples. The predominant chemical group was oxygenated monoterpenes, representing 49.22%, 69.29% and 62.76% of the total composition, respectively, for OEEO-VS, OEEO-FS, and OEEO-PFS. This was followed by hydrocarbon monoterpenes, which constituted 41.92%, 25.16% and 34.63% of the total composition, respectively. The presence of sesquiterpenes was observed in minimal quantities in OEEO-VS (4.11%) and OEEO-FS (2.62%), whereas no such compounds were identified in OEEO-PFS (Table 2). However, OEEO-FS was characterized by particularly high levels of carvacrol (37.26%) and thymol (29.54%), while OEEO-PFS had the highest concentration of γ -terpinene (26.95%) and *p*-cymene (31.45%). These results highlight the significant influence of the phenological stage on the chemical composition of OEEO.

The chemical composition of OEEO is comparable to that of other species within the *Origanum* genus, yet it possesses distinctive characteristics. In their studies of *O. compactum*, Bouyahya and colleagues observed that oxygenated monoterpenes (49.4–62.975%) and hydrocarbon monoterpenes (31.815–43.632%) constituted the main chemical groups, with carvacrol, thymol, *p*-cymene, and γ -terpinene identified as the major compounds. The proportions of carvacrol and thymol exhibited notable variation across the three phenological stages, at the vegetative stage, they constituted 27.71% and 15.32%, respectively. In contrast, at the flowering stage, carvacrol increased to 43.58%, while thymol decreased to 10.33%. At the post-flowering stage, this trend was reversed, with 38% thymol and 6.4% carvacrol being the predominant compounds [14,25]. A similar trend was observed in *O. vulgare* in a previous study, which reported that carvacrol was the predominant compound at all stages (61.08–83.37%), followed by *p*-cymene (3.02–9.87%) and γ -terpinene (4.13–6.34%) [22]. Morshedloo and colleagues additionally observed the preponderance of carvacrol (18.1–79.2%) in the EO *vulgare* subsp. *gracile*, with elevated concentrations in the flowers (79.2%), roots (70%), and early vegetative stage (67.34%) [26]. A further study revealed that thymol constituted the principal compound (46.90–62.26%) in *O. vulgare* EO at all stage of development, with γ -terpinene (1.11–11.75%) and *p*-cymene (3.11–5.32%) ranking as the next most abundant components [27]. However, Moradi and colleagues reported that the EO content was highest at the post-flowering stage (1.87%) and the

lowest at the pre-flowering stage (1.01%) in *O. vulgare*, with carvacrol, *p*-cymene, and γ -terpinene as the main components [28].

It is of great importance to highlight that the chemical composition of EO is subject to variation due to a multitude of factors that influence the biosynthesis of secondary metabolites. The geographical origin of the plant, its exposure, the altitude of its habitat, climatic conditions, in particular temperature, the genotype of the plant, and edaphic factors linked to the soil are among the factors that contribute to the variation in chemical composition observed in EOs [29]. The aforementioned diversity of parameters provides an explanation for the observed variations in the chemical composition of our study in comparison with other oregano species analyzed in the scientific literature.

Table 1: Chemical profile of OEEO at three phenological stage.

N°	Compounds	Formula	RI ¹	LRT ²	OEEO-VS ³ (%)	OEEO-FS ⁴ (%)	OEEO-PFS ⁵ (%)
1	α -thujene	C ₁₀ H ₁₆	902	921	1.48 ± 0.03	0.32 ± 0.02	—
2	δ -carene	C ₁₀ H ₁₆	919	929	1.46 ± 0.08	1.29 ± 0.04	2.36 ± 0.03
3	α -pinene	C ₁₀ H ₁₆	948	943	1.27 ± 0.14	—	—
4	3-octanonere	C ₈ H ₁₆ O	952	969	0.42 ± 0.1	—	—
5	β -myrcene	C ₁₀ H ₁₆	958	990	1.31 ± 0.06	0.82 ± 0.21	0.81 ± 0.11
6	morillool	C ₈ H ₁₆ O	969	1009	—	0.28 ± 0.01	—
7	γ -terpinene	C ₁₀ H ₁₆	998	1017	8.46 ± 0.43	13.60 ± 1.57	26.95 ± 0.28
8	D-limonene	C ₁₀ H ₁₆	1018	1031	1.11 ± 0.01	—	—
9	<i>p</i> -cymene	C ₁₀ H ₁₄	1023	1024	26.83 ± 1.05	9.13 ± 0.26	31.45 ± 1.46
10	<i>Trans</i> -sabinene hydrate	C ₁₀ H ₁₈ O	1041	1042	0.59 ± 0.09	—	—
11	linalool	C ₁₀ H ₁₈ O	1082	1082	2.24 ± 0.13	—	—
12	<i>L</i> -4-terpineol	C ₁₀ H ₁₈ O	1137	1177	1.20 ± 0.03	—	—
13	<i>endo</i> -borneol	C ₁₀ H ₁₈ O	1138	1140	0.55 ± 0.02	0.57 ± 0.03	—
14	isothymol methyl ether	C ₁₁ H ₁₆ O	1231	1235	—	1.40 ± 0.06	—
15	thymol	C ₁₀ H ₁₄ O	1262	1294	24.39 ± 0.27	29.54 ± 0.73	13.00 ± 0.21
16	tropolone	C ₁₀ H ₁₂ O ₂	1311	1313	0.55 ± 0.08	—	—
17	<i>p</i> -ethylguaiaicol	C ₁₀ H ₁₄ O ₂	1416	1430	1.80 ± 0.01	0.27 ± 0.01	1.63 ± 0.17
18	farnesyl acetate	C ₁₅ H ₂₆ O	1479	1470	0.72 ± 0.03	—	—
19	caryophyllene	C ₁₅ H ₂₄	1494	1448	1.43 ± 0.01	2.62 ± 0.03	—
20	caryophyllene oxide	C ₁₅ H ₂₄ O	1507	1578	1.96 ± 0.04	—	—
21	thiofenchone	C ₁₀ H ₁₈ O	1575	1555	—	0.52 ± 0.01	—
22	carvacrol	C ₁₀ H ₁₄ O	1665	1590	20.25 ± 0.36	37.26 ± 0.55	21.81 ± 0.6
TOTAL (%)					98.02	97.62	99.01
YIELD (%)					4.9	5	2.1

¹RI: retention index; ²LRT: retention index reported in literature. ³OEEO-VS: *O. elongatum* EO—vegetative stage. ⁴OEEO-FS: *O. elongatum* EO—flowering stage. ⁵OEEO-PFS: *O. elongatum* EO—post flowering stage.

Table 2: Chemical groups of OEEO-VS, OEEO-FS and OEEO-PFS.

Chemical Groups	OEEO-VS ¹ (%)	OEEO-FS ² (%)	OEEO-PFS ³ (%)
Monoterpene hydrocarbons	41.92	25.16	34.62
Oxygenated monoterpenes	49.22	69.29	62.76
Sesquiterpene hydrocarbons	1.43	2.62	—
Oxygenated sesquiterpenes	2.68	—	—
Other	2.77	0.55	1.63

¹OEEO-VS: *O. elongatum* EO—vegetative stage. ²OEEO-FS: *O. elongatum* EO—flowering stage. ³OEEO-PFS: *O. elongatum* EO—post flowering stage.




Figure 4: GC-MS profiles of *O. elongatum* EOs. (a) the vegetative stage, (b) flowering stage, and (c) postflowering stages.

3.2 Antibacterial Activity

The results of the evolution of the antibacterial activity of OEEO as a function of its stage of development, using the disc diffusion method, show notable variations in antibacterial efficacy for the three phytopathogenic bacteria tested (Fig. 5a). The inhibition zones measured for *E. amylovora* were 47 ± 3.1 mm for OEEO-VS, 58 ± 2.01 mm for OEEO-FS, and 46 ± 1.13 mm for OEEO-PFS. When subjected to OEEO-VS, OEEO-FS, and OEEO-PFS, *A. tumefaciens* C58 exhibited inhibition zones of 45 ± 1.12 , 47 ± 1.45 , and 46 ± 1.32 mm, respectively. However, *A. vitis* S4 displayed inhibition zones of 34 ± 2.4 , 52 ± 2.21 , and 47 ± 3.32 mm for OEEO-VS, OEEO-FS, and OEEO-PFS, respectively. The findings showed that the OEEO-FS was the most effective, with the largest inhibition zones for the three phytopathogen tested, and the most sensitive to the essential oils tested was *E. amylovora* (Fig. 6). In the case of microatmospher assay, the inhibition zone caused by the volatile compounds present in OEEO are presented in Fig. 5b. With the OEEO-VS, *E. amylovora* and *A. tumefaciens* C58 displayed the most extensive zone of inhibition,

measuring 30 ± 2.1 and 30 ± 3.2 mm, respectively. Additionally, *E. amylovora* reported a 26 ± 3.2 mm zone of inhibition for OEEO-FS. In summary, the biggest zone of inhibition for *E. amylovora* and *A. tumefaciens* C58 was 29 ± 1.07 and 29 ± 2.5 mm in the present of OEEO-PFS. On the other hand, the results of MIC demonstrate that OEEO exhibits antibacterial activity towards the three phytopathogen strains tested, including *E. amylovora*, *A. tumefaciens* C58, and *A. vitis* S4. However, the degree of sensitivity exhibited varies according to the phenological stage of OEEO (Table 3). Overall, OEEO-FS demonstrated the greatest efficacy, particularly against *E. amylovora*, exhibiting an MIC of 62.5 $\mu\text{g/mL}$, lower than that of the positive control. For *A. vitis* oils from vegetative and flowering stages exhibited equivalent activity (125 $\mu\text{g/mL}$), which was twice as potent as that observed in the post OEEO-PFS (250 $\mu\text{g/mL}$). A similar trend was observed for *A. tumefaciens*, with the OEEO-VS and OEEO-FS exhibiting more pronounced activity (140 $\mu\text{g/mL}$). In contrast, OEEO-PFS demonstrate reduced efficacy (300 $\mu\text{g/mL}$). In fact, a study has shown that *O. elongatum* EO fractions obtained between 141 and 160 min of hydrodistillation exhibited the highest activity, with MICs ranging from 0.0312 to 0.125% (v/v) and MBCs ranging from 0.0312 to 0.25% (v/v) [30]. Furthermore, another study on the antibacterial efficacy of *O. elongatum* reported MICs ranging from 2 to 8 $\mu\text{L/mL}$ against several bacterial strains, except for *Staphylococcus aureus* (MIC = 32 $\mu\text{L/mL}$) [5].

The aforementioned studies irrefutably demonstrate that the EOs of assorted medicinal plants exhibit pronounced antibacterial activity, with discernible variations contingent on the phenological phase. The analysis of *Origanum vulgare* subsp. *glandulosum* revealed zone of inhibition ranging from 9 to 36 mm and the MICs of 125 to 600 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ against *Bacillus subtilis* [22]. However, the efficacy of the vegetative and flowering stages of *Origanum compactum* against *Staphylococcus aureus* was also confirmed, with remarkable inhibition diameters of 47 mm and best bactericidal action (MIC = MBC = 0.0312% (v/v)) [23]. In a separate study, the researchers investigated the antibacterial activity of *Thymus daenensis* and *Thymus fedtschenkoi* EOs against diverse range of pathogenic bacteria. They observed that the plant exhibited notable antibacterial efficacy at various phenological stages, with MICs values ranging from 1 to 16 mg/mL and 2 to 32 mg/mL, respectively [31]. Nevertheless, a comprehensive examination of *Salvia officinalis* at all three developmental stages revealed a consistent and pronounced antibacterial efficacy. The most sensitive bacteria were *Listeria monocytogenes*, followed by *Bacillus subtilis*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *proteus mirabilis*, *Escherichia coli*, and *salmonella typhimurium*, with slight superiority at the flowering stage [32]. This trend towards optimum efficacy at the flowering stage was also observed in *Pelargonium graveolens* against different bacterial strains, with zones of inhibition ranging from 11 to 17.30 and MICs of 0.25 to 2% (v/v) [33]. Notably, *Thymus maroccanus* yield particularly impressive results, demonstrating maximum activity against both Gram positive (21–48 mm and Gram negative (9.7–23.7 mm) bacteria, and the MICs (0.13 to 0.95 mg/mL at different stages of development [34].

Table 3: Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of OEEO at different stages.

Bacterial Strains	MIC ($\mu\text{g/mL}$)			
	OEEO-VS ¹	OEEO-FS ²	OEEO-PFS ³	Control ⁴
<i>A. vitis</i> S4	125	125	250	65
<i>A. tumefaciens</i> C58	150	150	300	130
<i>E. amylovora</i>	125	62.5	125	130

¹OEEO-VS: *O. elongatum* EO—vegetative stage. ²OEEO-FS: *O. elongatum* EO—flowering stage. ³OEEO-PFS: *O. elongatum* EO—post flowering stage. ⁴Streptomycin (positive control).




Figure 5: Inhibition zone of OEEO at three phenological stage against *E. amylovora*, *A. tumefaciens* C58 and *A. vitis* S4. **(a):** disc diffusion assay; **(b)** microatmospher assay. OEEO-VS: *O. elongatum* EO—vegetative stage; OEEO-FS: *O. elongatum* EO—flowering stage; OEEO-PFS: *O. elongatum* EO—post flowering stage and control+: streptomycin. Data with the same letter in the same test present non-significant difference by Tukey's multiple range test (ANOVA, $p < 0.05$).




Figure 6: Inhibition zones of OEEO-VS, OEEO-FS and OEEO-PFS against three phytopathogens. OEEO-VS: *O. elongatum* EO—vegetative stage; OEEO-FS: *O. elongatum* EO—flowering stage; OEEO-PFS: *O. elongatum* EO—post flowering stage and T+: streptomycin (positive control).

3.3 Antioxidant Activity

The antioxidant potential of OEEO was evaluated using DPPH and FRAP tests, which yielded distinct activity profiling according to phenological stage (Table 4). This suggests an intriguing variation in antioxidant power. The DPPH test results demonstrated that the OEEO-PFS exhibited the most potent antioxidant effect, with an IC_{50} value of $132.01 \pm 2.06 \mu\text{g/mL}$. This was followed by OEEO-VS ($IC_{50} = 147.01 \pm 0.78 \mu\text{g/mL}$), whereas OEEO-FS displayed the lowest activity with an IC_{50} of $168.25 \pm 1.14 \mu\text{g/mL}$. However, although these results are promising, they are still less effective than the reference antioxidant BHT ($IC_{50} = 63.21 \pm 0.03 \mu\text{g/mL}$) and ascorbic acid ($IC_{50} = 41.32 \pm 0.08 \mu\text{g/mL}$). While the results of the FRAP test demonstrated a notable variation in the antioxidant activity of OEEO across the different phenological stages of the plant. The most pronounced antioxidant effect was observed in OEEO-FS, with an EC_{50} of $164.22 \pm 1.04 \mu\text{g/mL}$, followed by OEEO-PFS ($184.06 \pm 0.95 \mu\text{g/mL}$). In contrast, the OEEO-VS showed the lowest activity, with an EC_{50} of $215.73 \pm 1.48 \mu\text{g/mL}$. While these values indicate an intriguing antioxidant potential, they remain inferior to that of BHT ($89.44 \pm 0.13 \mu\text{g/mL}$), which serves as reference antioxidant.

A comparison of our results with those of previous studies revealed both similarities and differences in the antioxidant ability of our OEEO, depending on the phenological stage. However, for *Origanum vulgare* subsp. *gracile*, Morshedloo, and colleagues observed maximum antioxidant activity in the flowering stage ($EC_{50} = 0.86 \text{ mL/mL}$), while the vegetative and fruiting stages showed lower activity [26]. Conversely, another study demonstrated a progressive increase in antioxidant activity for *Origanum compactum*, with antioxidant capacity rising from $EC_{50} = 94.18$ to $53.28 \mu\text{g/mL}$ and IC_{50} values falling from 320 to $172.87 \mu\text{g/mL}$,

between the vegetative flowering and post flowering stages of growth [23]. In contrast, *Origanum majorana* exhibited higher antioxidant activity at the late vegetative stage ($IC_{50} = 40\text{--}50\text{ }\mu\text{g/mL}$) compared to the early vegetative and flowering period [35]. However, a study demonstrated that the antioxidant activity of *Origanum vulgare* ssp. *Hirtum* reaches its peak during the full flowering stage ($7.711 \pm 0.253\text{ }\mu\text{mol/g}$), subsequently declining significantly at the post flowering phase [36]. Nevertheless, an investigation on *Origanum vulgare* L. found that the potential antioxidant of its EOs at various stages of growth range between $EC_{50} = 7.91$ and 8.59 mg/mL [37]. Additionally, the anti-radical activity expressed by IC_{50} of *Origanum vulgare* subsp. *glandulosum* (Desf.) Ietswaart was varied from 59.2 mg/L to 226.19 mg/L [38]. In another work on the effect of the development stage of *Rosmarinus officinalis* L. var. *typicus* Batt, found a high ability of EOs to trap the free radicals of DPPH ($IC_{50} = 17.3\text{ }\mu\text{g/mL}$ for vegetative EO and $IC_{50} = 8.59\text{ mg/mL}$ for fruiting stage) [39]. The observed variations in antioxidant activity at different phenological growth stages may be ascribed to alterations in the chemical structure of the EOs occurring during the plant's development. This hypothesis is supported by the findings of supplementary studies, including [37]. Geographical origin, exposure to sunlight, altitude, soil climate, and plant genetics can also modify the chemical content and therefore the antioxidant capacity of the plant [25,40,41].

Table 4: Antioxidant activity of OEEO at three phenological stage.

	Samples			Positive Control	
	OEEO-FS ¹	OEEO-VS ²	OEEO-PFS ³	BHT ⁴	Ascorbic Acid
FRAP (EC_{50} ($\mu\text{g/mL}$))	164.22 ± 1.04^b	215.73 ± 1.48^d	184.06 ± 0.95^c	89.44 ± 0.13^a	-
DPPH (IC_{50} ($\mu\text{g/mL}$))	168.25 ± 1.14^e	147.01 ± 0.78^d	132.01 ± 2.06^c	63.21 ± 0.03^b	41.32 ± 0.08^a

¹OEEO-VS: *O. elongatum* EO—vegetative stage. ²OEEO-FS: *O. elongatum* EO—flowering stage. ³OEEO-PFS: *O. elongatum* EO—post flowering stage. ⁴butylated hydroxytoluene. Data with the same letter in the same test present non-significant difference by Tukey's multiple range test (ANOVA, $p < 0.05$).

4 Conclusion

This study highlighted, for the first time, the decisive impact of the phenological stage on the chemical composition and biological properties of *O. elongatum* EO. GC-MS analysis confirmed that the major compounds (*p*-cymene, γ -terpinene, thymol, and carvacrol) are present at all stages, but in varying proportions. The flowering stage (OEEO-FS) was characterized by maximum carvacrol (37.36%) and thymol (29.54%) content, while the post-flowering stage (OEEO-PFS) revealed higher levels of γ -terpinene (26.95%) and *p*-cymene (31.45%). These chemical fluctuations resulted in notable differences at the biological level. The EO produced during the flowering stage exhibited the most pronounced antibacterial activity, particularly against *E. amylovra* with an MIC of $62.5\text{ }\mu\text{g/mL}$, confirming its value as a natural biocontrol agent. At the same time, the EO from the post-flowering stage demonstrated greater effectiveness in trapping free radicals DPPH, while that from the flowering stage performed better in the FRAP test. Overall, these results highlight the crucial importance of choosing the right harvest stage to optimize therapeutic and biotechnological use of *O. elongatum* EO. The flowering stage appears to be the most appropriate for antibacterial actions, while the post-flowering stage could be valued for its antioxidant properties. These findings pave the way for better utilization of this endemic Moroccan species, with promising prospects in the pharmaceutical, agronomic, and environmental fields.

Acknowledgement: Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University Researchers Supporting Project number (PNURSP2025R158), Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

Funding Statement: The authors received no specific funding for this study.

Author Contributions: Study concept and design: Amine Batbat, Khaoula Habbadi and Naoufal El Hachlafi; data acquisition: Samiah Hamad Al-Mijalli, Fahad M. Alshabrm, Naif Hesham Moursi, Hanae Naceiri Mrabti and Mohamed Jeddi; methodology: Hassane Greche and Naoufal El Hachlafi; software: Fahad M Alshabrm, Mohamed Jeddi and Naif Hesham Moursi; analysis and interpretation of data: Amine Batbat, Khaoula Habbadi Hassane Greche and Mohamed Jeddi; drafting of the manuscript: Naoufal El Hachlafi, Amine Batbat and Mohamed Jeddi; critical revision of the manuscript: Khaoula Habbadi and Hanae Naceiri Mrabti; supervision: Khaoula Habbadi and Naoufal El Hachlafi. All authors reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Availability of Data and Materials: No datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

Ethics Approval: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Piasecki B, Balázs VL, Kieltyka-Dadasiewicz A, Szabó P, Kocsis B, Horváth G, et al. Microbiological studies on the influence of essential oils from several *Origanum* species on respiratory pathogens. *Molecules*. 2023;28(7):3044. [CrossRef].
2. El Yaagoubi W, El Ghadraoui L, Soussi M, Ezrari S, Belmalha S. Large-scale ethnomedicinal inventory and therapeutic applications of medicinal and aromatic plants used extensively in folk medicine by the local population in the middle atlas and the plain of Saiss, Morocco. *Ethnobot Res App*. 2023;25:1–29. [CrossRef].
3. Güllü İB, Albayrak S, Kaymak E, Yay A. Antidiabetic and antioxidant effect of *Origanum minutiflorum* O. Schwarz & P. H. Davis in streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats. *Hacettepe J Biol Chem*. 2023;51(3):259–70. [CrossRef].
4. Youbi A, Ouahidi I, Mansouri L, Daoudi A, Bousta D. Ethnopharmacological survey of plants used for immunological diseases in four regions of Morocco. *Eur J Med Plants*. 2016;13(1):1–24. [CrossRef].
5. Tagnaout I, Zerkani H, Bencheikh N, Amalich S, Bouhrim M, Mothana RA, et al. Chemical composition, antioxidants, antibacterial, and insecticidal activities of *Origanum elongatum* (bonnet) emberger & maire aerial part essential oil from Morocco. *Antibiotics*. 2023;12(1):174. [CrossRef].
6. Taha M, Elazab ST, Abdelbagi O, Saati AA, Babateen O, Baokbah TAS, et al. Phytochemical analysis of *Origanum majorana* L. extract and investigation of its antioxidant, anti-inflammatory and immunomodulatory effects against experimentally induced colitis downregulating Th17 cells. *J Ethnopharmacol*. 2023;317:116826. [CrossRef].
7. Yarlılar ŞG, Yabalak E, Yetkin D, Gizir AM, Mazmancı B. Anticancer potential of *Origanum munzurense* extract against MCF-7 breast cancer cell. *Int J Environ Health Res*. 2023;33(6):600–8. [CrossRef].
8. Zulu L, Gao H, Zhu Y, Wu H, Xie Y, Liu X, et al. Antifungal effects of seven plant essential oils against *Penicillium digitatum*. *Chem Biol Technol Agric*. 2023;10(1):82. [CrossRef].
9. Marrelli M, Statti GA, Conforti F. *Origanum* spp.: An update of their chemical and biological profiles. *Phytochem Rev*. 2018;17(4):873–88. [CrossRef].
10. Machado AM, Lopes V, Barata AM, Póvoa O, Farinha N, Figueiredo AC. Essential oils from *Origanum vulgare* subsp. *virens* (hoffmanns. & link) ietsw. grown in Portugal: chemical diversity and relevance of chemical descriptors. *Plants*. 2023;12(3):621. [CrossRef].
11. Petrakis EA, Mikropoulou EV, Mitakou S, Halabalaki M, Kalpoutzakis E. A GC-MS and LC-HRMS perspective on the chemotaxonomic investigation of the natural hybrid *Origanum* × *Lirium* and its parents, *O. vulgare* subsp. *hirtum* and *O. scabrum*. *Phytochem Anal*. 2023;34(3):289–300. [CrossRef].
12. Nurzyńska-Wierdak R, Walasek-Janusz M. Chemical composition, biological activity, and potential uses of oregano (*Origanum vulgare* L.) and oregano essential oil. *Pharmaceuticals*. 2025;18(2):267. [CrossRef].
13. Karaca Öner E, Yeşil M. Effects of different altitudes on essential oil composition of *Origanum majorana* species. *Biology*. 2023. [CrossRef].
14. Bouyahya A, Dakka N, Talbaoui A, Et-Touys A, El-Boury H, Abrini J, et al. Correlation between phenological changes, chemical composition and biological activities of the essential oil from Moroccan endemic Oregano (*Origanum compactum* Benth). *Ind Crops Prod*. 2017;108:729–37. [CrossRef].

15. Stefanakis MK, Touloupakis E, Anastasopoulos E, Ghanotakis D, Katerinopoulos HE, Makridis P. Antibacterial activity of essential oils from plants of the genus *Origanum*. Food Control. 2013;34(2):539–46. [CrossRef].
16. Rankou H, Culham A, Jury SL, Christenhusz MJM. The endemic flora of Morocco. Phytotaxa. 2013;78(1):1–69. [CrossRef].
17. Bakha M, El Mtili N, Machon N, Aboukhalid K, Amchra FZ, Khiraoui A, et al. Intraspecific chemical variability of the essential oils of Moroccan endemic *Origanum elongatum* L. (Lamiaceae) from its whole natural habitats. Arab J Chem. 2020;13(1):3070–81. [CrossRef].
18. Abdelaali B, El Menyiy N, El Omari N, Benali T, Guaouguaou FE, Salhi N, et al. Phytochemistry, toxicology, and pharmacological properties of *Origanum elongatum*. Evid Based Complement Altern Med. 2021;2021:6658593. [CrossRef].
19. Figuéredo G, Cabassu P, Chalchat JC, Pasquier B. Studies of Mediterranean oregano populations. VIII—chemical composition of essential oils of oreganos of various origins. Flavour Fragr J. 2006;21(1):134–9. [CrossRef].
20. Rchid H, Oualili H, Nmila R, Chibi F, Lasky M, Mricha A. Chemical composition and antioxidant activity of *Origanum elongatum* essential oil. Phcog Res. 2019;11(3):283. [CrossRef].
21. Hari A, Echchgadda G, Darkaoui FA, Taarji N, Sahri N, Sobeh M, et al. Chemical composition, antioxidant properties, and antifungal activity of wild *Origanum elongatum* extracts against *Phytophthora infestans*. Front Plant Sci. 2024;15:1278538. [CrossRef].
22. Béjaoui A, Chaabane H, Jemli M, Boulila A, Boussaid M. Essential oil composition and antibacterial activity of *Origanum vulgare* subsp. glandulosum Desf. at different phenological stages. J Med Food. 2013;16(12):1115–20. [CrossRef].
23. Ouzakar S, Senhaji NS, Harsal AE, Abrini J. Synergistic interaction between *Chlorella vulgaris* extract and *Origanum elongatum* essential oil against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Int Microbiol. 2025;28(4):691–701. [CrossRef].
24. El Hachlafi N, Elbouzidi A, Batbat A, Taibi M, Jeddi M, Addi M, et al. Chemical composition and assessment of the anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, cytotoxic and skin enzyme inhibitory activities of *Citrus sinensis* (L.) osbeck essential oil and its major compound limonene. Pharmaceuticals. 2024;17(12):1652. [CrossRef].
25. Bouyahya A, Dakka N, Lagrouh F, Abrini J, Bakri Y. Anti-dermatophytes activity of *Origanum compactum* essential oil at three developmental stages. Phytothérapie. 2018;17(4):201–5. [CrossRef].
26. Morshedloo MR, Mumivand H, Craker LE, Maggi F. Chemical composition and antioxidant activity of essential oils in *Origanum vulgare* subsp. gracile at different phenological stages and plant parts. J Food Process Preserv. 2018;42(2):e13516. [CrossRef].
27. Chauhan NK, Singh S, Haider SZ, Lohani H. Influence of phenological stages on yield and quality of oregano (*Origanum vulgare* L.) under the agroclimatic condition of doon valley (uttarakhand). Indian J Pharm Sci. 2013;75(4):489–93. [CrossRef].
28. Moradi M, Hassani A, Sefidkon F, Maroofi H. Qualitative and quantitative changes in the essential oil of *Origanum vulgare* ssp. gracile as affected by different harvesting times. Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology. J Agric Sci Technol. 2021;23:179–86.
29. Chen L, Liao P. Current insights into plant volatile organic compound biosynthesis. Curr Opin Plant Biol. 2025;85:102708. [CrossRef].
30. El Harsal A, Ibn Mansour A, Skali Senhaji N, Ouardy Khay EL, Bouhdid S, Amajoud N, et al. Influence of extraction time on the yield, chemical composition, and antibacterial activity of the essential oil from *Origanum elongatum* (E. & M.) harvested at northern Morocco. J Essent Oil Bear Plants. 2018;21(6):1460–74. [CrossRef].
31. Mumivand H, Shayganfar A, Hasanvand F, Maggi F, Alizadeh A, Darvishnia M. Antimicrobial activity and chemical composition of essential oil from *Thymus daenensis* and *Thymus fedtschenkoi* during phenological stages. J Essent Oil Bear Plants. 2021;24(3):469–79. [CrossRef].
32. Paudel PN, Satyal P, Satyal R, Setzer WN, Gyawali R. Chemical composition, enantiomeric distribution, antimicrobial and antioxidant activities of *Origanum majorana* L. essential oil from Nepal. Molecules. 2022;27(18):6136. [CrossRef].
33. Hmidouche O, Bouftini k, Sabir I, Lasky M, Mricha A, Rchid H, et al. Bioactive potential of *Origanum elongatum* extracts: focus on phytochemical composition and antioxidant properties of different fractions. Not Sci Biol. 2025;17(3):12417. [CrossRef].

34. Jamali CA, Kasrati A, Bekkouche K, Hassani L, Wohlmuth H, Leach D, et al. Phenological changes to the chemical composition and biological activity of the essential oil from Moroccan endemic thyme (*Thymus maroccanus* Ball). Ind Crops Prod. 2013;49:366–72. [[CrossRef](#)].
35. Baâtour O, Tarchoun I, Nasri N, Kaddour R, Harrathi J, Drawi E, et al. Effect of growth stages on phenolics content and antioxidant activities of shoots in sweet marjoram (*Origanum majorana* L.) varieties under salt stress. Afr J Biotechnol. 2012;11:16486–93.
36. Król B, Kołodziej B, Kędzia B, Holderna-Kędzia E, Sugier D, Luchowska K. Date of harvesting affects yields and quality of *Origanum vulgare* ssp. *hirtum* (Link) Ietswaart. J Sci Food Agric. 2019;99(12):5432–43. [[CrossRef](#)].
37. Ilić Z, Stanojević L, Milenković L, Šunić L, Milenković A, Stanojević J, et al. The yield, chemical composition, and antioxidant activities of essential oils from different plant parts of the wild and cultivated oregano (*Origanum vulgare* L.). Horticulturae. 2022;8(11):1042. [[CrossRef](#)].
38. Mechergui K, Coelho JA, Serra MC, Lamine SB, Boukhchina S, Khouja ML. Essential oils of *Origanum vulgare* L. subsp. *glandulosum* (Desf.) Ietswaart from Tunisia: chemical composition and antioxidant activity. J Sci Food Agric. 2010;90(10):1745–9. [[CrossRef](#)].
39. Yosr Z, Hnia C, Rim T, Mohamed B. Changes in essential oil composition and phenolic fraction in *Rosmarinus officinalis* L. var. *typicus* Batt. organs during growth and incidence on the antioxidant activity. Ind Crops Prod. 2013;43:412–9. [[CrossRef](#)].
40. Boukhira S, Bousta F, Moularat S, Abdellaoui A, Ouaritini ZB, Bousta D. Evaluation of the preservative properties of *Origanum elongatum* essential oil in a topically applied formulation under a challenge test. Phytothérapie. 2018;18(2):92–8. [[CrossRef](#)].
41. Merghni A, Belmamoun AR, Urcan AC, Bobiş O, Ali Lassoued M. 1, 8-cineol (eucalyptol) disrupts membrane integrity and induces oxidative stress in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Antioxidants. 2023;12(7):1388. [[CrossRef](#)].